I. Call to Order 
   a. Roll Call  
      i. Late: Elena Dennis, Ian Policarpio, Jackie Palacios  
      ii. Absent: Philip Bui  
   b. Approval of Minutes  
      i. Motion- Peter Scheinman  
      ii. Second- Yasi Sanandaj  
      iii. Unanimous  
   c. Approval of Agenda  
      i. Motion- Matthew Ghan  
      ii. Second- Megan Taban  
      iii. Unanimous  
   d. Address Guests  
II. Old Business  
III. Committee Reports  
   a. University Affairs Committee  
      i. The University Affairs committee continued their transition notes for the incoming senators. Senator Ballard and Senator Harris finalized their advocacy plans for the summer as they will be continuing their efforts on the 2017-2018 senate.  
   b. Academic Affairs Committee  
      i. Michelle Zhou: Vice Provost Lenoir replied, would like to create a task force with students to work out a temporary solution for the TLT  
      ii. Yasi Sanandaj: Crean atrium furniture will be delivered at the end of May. Followed up with first generation program regarding mixer, and will pass it on to the next Crean senator.  
      iii. Matthew Ghan: Meeting with Dean Sparks Thursday.  
      iv. Ansley Wong: Will meet with newsletter editorial team next week to go through work flow, then going to IES faculty meeting to explain new work flow.  
      v. Sarah Tabsh: Thank you all for a great year, could not have asked for a better team to work and grow with. Wish you all the best of luck!  
   c. Diversity Affairs Committee  
      i. Jerry Hu: has been working on their extensive transition notes so that the newly elected Underclassman Senator has access to his known failures / so they know not to waste any time when pursuing advocacies.  
      ii. Dorcas Hoi: has been reviewing data for the IES Newsletter. The Student-Faculty IES/CES Mixer is happening this Monday.  
      iii. Ian Policarpio: has been working on the COPA Town Hall and its advertising.  
      iv. Jackie Palacios: tried out the Chapman Casting Portal and discussed the pros and cons of it with IS&T. They are going to work on revisions for a newer draft and will present them to Jackie once they are done.  
      v. Juliane Corpus: finally finished making the presentations and are ready to present them either in the fall when her term is over or if the next Crean
Senator would like to take up this job, she will hand over this project to them.

d. Community Outreach Committee
   i. Ben Field met with Tom Campbell to discuss the Orange ordinance further. He learned that the process of repealing an ordinance is not as difficult as it may seem. What is needed is a presentation of new legislation to override the law that is currently in place. There needs to be factual evidence as to why your suggestion would be more successful than the current law, and make sure you talk to all parties involved. Since SGA can not directly be involved in a lobbying effort, this would need to be continued by a group of students next year, inside or outside of student government, and it needs to be made clear that these efforts are separate from those of SGA. Finding law students to help with the case would be helpful and give more credibility as well.
   ii. Sofia Caputo is following up with Jack Robault regarding the move out flyer, and is contacting IFC and Panhellenic to have them pass out flyers at an upcoming meeting to give students a few weeks notice before the end of the school year. Flyers will also be able to be found in the Union closer to the end of the year, as well as on the Chapman website. Students who want furniture and other household items to be picked up following the end of school will need to fill out a form so the truck knows to stop at their house, what time, date, etc.
   iii. For the rest of the meeting our committee looked over our operating documents and has begun to make changes to be looked over by senate this Friday at meeting. Elliot emailed Vice President Tyler Porterfield to get an online document sent to the committee, since the version on the website is a screenshot of the documents that cannot be edited on. As a committee we will work on the documents again this week.
   iv. This Sunday, the Community Outreach Committee will be attending the Reading Promise event with Jack Robault and other members of Chapman’s Community Relations group here in Orange.

e. Allocations and Internal Affairs Committee
   i. Peter Scheinman: Is bringing up legislation for the reserve fund and he is supporting Seth on his resolution.
   ii. Seth Stukalin: Is looking into getting Noloxone pen training for Public Safety officers and Resident Advisors for the on campus housing
   iii. Megan Taban: Wrapping up yearbook information
   iv. Shelby Stewart, Kunal Sharma & Adam Frey: working on passing the survey onto the transition notes
   v. Nico Scordakis: Emailed Mitchell his draft of what data he is sending to Mr. Hewitt

f. Outside Committees

IV. New Business
   a. Disciplinary Proceeding
      i. James Hart- An organization that applied for funding and financial services issued a check that did not match the amount that we approved. It was caught at the final approval stage. I believe that is was a miscommunication between the student and financial services. The penalty would be to ban them from funding for one month, which the
month has already past so they have already served that month in a sense. We will not be seeking any other consequences.

ii. Wil Harris- I don’t understand how it is possible to change the amount of the check request without having malicious nature. It is illegal and I don’t understand how you came to the conclusion that is was just a miscommunication.

iii. Sarah Tabsh- I think a month is too small of a sanction especially if someone just adds a zero to the end of the check it can greatly affect our budget.

iv. Joe DeCasperis- I think since we do not have any evidence of malicious acts we cannot punish the student more than the recommended sanction because it could’ve been a miscommunication between SGA, the student, and financial services.

v. Motion to approve the one month sanction- Elliot Gardner
   1. Second- Matthew Ghan
   2. Approval-18 Opposed-6 Abstained-2

b. Allocations’ Operating Document Reserve Fund Amendment

i. At the end of each fiscal year, any unused Student Government Association funds shall be considered part of the Student Government Association Reserve Fund, hereby referred to as the “reserve fund.”

ii. Any funds within the General Budget which are specifically earmarked for a particular purpose and dictated by the University shall flow into a sub-account within the reserve.
   1. Such funds shall retain the same rules, regulations, and restrictions that applied when they were a part of the General Budget.
   2. All changes in percentage allocation within the General Budget are forward looking, and may not be applied to funds already accounted for within the reserve fund.
   3. If the University maintains a separate account for the funds, they must be reconciled with SGA’s records on a yearly basis.

iii. Funds may be allocated from the reserve fund through a simple majority vote of Senate and the approval of the president.

iv. Use of the reserve fund is still subject to university fiscal policy and other SGA rules governing funding.

v. Senate may override a president’s veto through a two-thirds majority vote.

vi. Motion to approve the amendment- Sarah Tabsh
    1. Second- Enoch Cheng
    2. Approval-23 Opposed-0 Abstained-3

c. Funding Request: Ad Club/NSAC

i. Total Requested: $ 3310.38

ii. Kunal Sharma: Allocation’s committee concluded that since they receive class credit, we won’t be able to fund this.

iii. Guest: From September, through Interterm, the students do not get credit for it but in the Spring we offer the 3 credits for all the work they did.

iv. Peter Scheinman- Not only is it in our documents, but I think it also part of Chapman rules not to fund things that receive class credit. It also has to do with financial.

v. Motion to deny funding- Peter Scheinman
1. Second- Adam Frey
2. Approval-23 Opposed-0 Abstained-3
3. Funding is denied.

d. Funding Request: The Player’s Society
   i. Motion to table- Juliane Corpus
   ii. Second- Jerry Hu
   iii. Approval-23 Opposed-1 Abstained-2
   iv. Request is tabled.

e. Funding Request: Promising Futures Program
   i. Total Requested: $1484.80
   ii. Kunal Sharma- According to our documents we can only fund $200 because it’s $10 per clothing item.
   iii. Sarah Tabsh- I think we should fund this in full because it will not be a single use graduation item according to the application and it is also not a t-shirt that they are asking for, so we should be able to fund this in full.
   iv. Peter Scheinman- I think we should either fund the $200 or table it for the new senate to make an amendment in the Allocations documents in order for them to be able to fund it afterwards.
   v. Kayla Wiechert: According to the documents you can only fund $10 per clothing item but it also says we cannot fund single use graduation items. So if you are going to deny the request you need to specify what part of the documents are restricting you from funding.
   vi. Motion to fund in full- Sarah Tabsh
      1. Second- Joe DeCasperis
      2. Approval-20 Opposed-5 Abstained-1

f. Funding Request: Coalition of Artistic Students in Theater
   i. Total Requested: $5000
   ii. Sarah Tabsh- Does this event happen every year? Does the Theater department have this budgeted into their budget and have you asked for funding from SGA before?
      1. Guest- Yes we do have this event every year and the Theater department does give us some money but not enough to cover the whole event. We have come to SGA before for funding in the past.
   iii. Motion to fund in full- Peter
      1. Second- Joe DeCasperis
      2. Approval-24 Opposed-1 Abstained-1

g. By-Laws Amendment: President Annabell Liao
   i. Annabell Liao: Fixing clerical errors and adjusting the wording to make sense. Also changing the part of the reserve fund where if we exceed $160,000 in the reserve the excess will be added to our operating budget so we can efficiently use our money and not just have it sit there. I also added specifics about what the amounts are for stipends for each position and if an officer begins their term less than 3 weeks before a pay period, they will not receive compensation. I also added that officers who have been censured due to absences or failure to complete their duties can be fined up to 50% of their next fellowship increment.
   ii. Juliane Corpus- I understand that senators do put in a lot of work but some do more work than others and will still receive more payment than others, so I do not agree with this.
iii. Peter Scheinman- About the stipend I think it is fair to increase it since senate is getting smaller. Even though we should all be working for free to benefit the school, increasing the stipend will create more incentive to run for Senate.

iv. Joe DeCasperis- The stipends have not been changed in such a long time so I think it is fair to raise the stipends to adjust to the standard of living nowadays. However the only one I don’t agree with is lowering the stipend of the speaker because they do a lot of work and are required to hold more office hours than anyone else. Also adding $50 to the yearbook does not make a better yearbook nor does it greatly impact them.

v. Juliane Corpus- I was wondering how it would be determined whether or not a someone isn’t fulfilling their duties in order to give them a fine.
   1. Annabel Liao- It says in our documents what the requirements are and what they must do in their position so I think people should be held accountable to that as well and not just absences.

vi. Elliot Gardner- I think we should take out the fining process because if someone were to get one absence after their censure, they would already be brought up for removal.

vii. James Hart- I don’t think we should put a cap on to the reserve fund because this reserve is meant for large projects for senators and if you cap that, then it limits projects for you. If you are going to cap it then put it at $500,000 half a million would be a reasonable number. As far as the stipends, I think the directors need to be appropriately paid and not an average of $8 an hour. I am saying that we should increase stipends as well as hiring more support staff because we work a lot and the payment does not reflect all the hours that are put into working these positions.

viii. Peter Scheinman- I don’t think we should do hourly wages because we don’t have enough information in order to do so from Human Resources. I also think we should take out i. under the reserve fund amendment to take out the cap. I think we should also increase the director of finance stipend to $8000, the same as the vice-president.

ix. Alex Ballard- I think we can all agree to remove section 5 for the fining of senators.
   1. Senators- Yes. (Unanimous soft poll)

x. Mitchell Rosenberg- Soft poll to get rid of i. under the reserve fund amendment
   1. Unanimous yes on soft poll

xi. Nico Scordakis- I think we should vote on the other amendments without section 4 for the stipends and then come back with holistic information.

xii. Joe DeCasperis- These numbers are close to what we are trying to get to so I think these amounts are fair.

xiii. Motion to approve the amendments as is- Peter Scheinman
   1. Second- Nicollette Burtis
   2. Approval-18 Opposed-8 Abstained-0

b. Motion to extend minutes up to 30 minutes- Nico Scordakis
   i. Second- Peter Scheinman
   ii. Approval is Unanimous

h. Constitutional Amendment: Senator Rosenberg
   i. Just adding the Director of Elections as part of the Executive Council
throughout each governing documents

ii. Motion to Approve- Megan Tabaj
   1. Second- Sarah Tabsh
   2. Approval is Unanimous

i. By-Laws Amendment: Senator Rosenberg
   i. Motion to approve- Nicolette Burtis
      1. Second- Matthew Ghan
      2. Approval is Unanimous

j. Executive Operating Procedures Amendment: Senator Rosenberg
   i. Motion to approve- Ian Policarpio
      1. Second- Jackie Palacios
      2. Approval is Unanimous

V. Open Forum
   a. Anti-Semitism
      i. Guest: We think this resolution will show that we are against
         anti-semitism acts. Jews are still the number one group that are targeted
         for hate crimes. I hope we can work together to pass a statement to be
         recognized by SGA and the University.
      ii. Matthew Ghan- Chapman acknowledges that we are a diverse campus
          however it does not mean that these things don’t happen. Some of us may
          not see it but it does occur and we need to pay attention to their concerns.
      iii. Sarah Tabsh- I appreciate you coming in today however hate crime is
           already against school policy and against federal law.
      iv. Guest: It may be against school policy, but students are still attacks us.
           A group of students walked by and did a heil hitler salute. I think having
           SGA in support of us and being against hate crimes would definitely help
           from keeping these kind of attacks from happening.

   b. Guest: It has come to our attention that students have been using opioids such as
      heroin and one student on our campus has overdosed and passed away last year.
      We see drug use as a mental and health problem not a criminal act. We want
      RA’s, staff, Public Safety and some students to be trained in CPR and how to
      inject the antidotes in order to save individuals who are having an attack or
      overdose. We also want to have antidotes that can be administered in an
      emergency to save the lives of our students. It’s vital to have these injection kits
      available on campus for all of us to be able to save lives.
      i. Yasi Sanandaj- Thank you so much for coming in and I do think this is an
          important issue that needs to be addressed.

   c. Motion to nominate Adam Frey as temporary speaker- Ansley
      i. Second- Dorcas
      ii. Approval is Unanimous

VI. Announcements
   a. Support Staff Positions

VII. Adjournment
   a. Motion- Juliane Corpus
   b. Second- Jackie Palacios
   c. Unanimous