I. Call to Order
   a. Roll Call
   b. Approval of Minutes
      i. Motion- Victoria Bohush
      ii. Second- Sarah Tabsh
   c. Approval of Agenda
      i. Motion- Yasi Sanandaj
      ii. Second- Ben Field
d. Address Guests
e. Recognition
   i. Mitchell Rosenberg recognizes Ana Vincenti for live streaming her office hours the other day.
   ii. Joe DeCasperis recognizes Annabell Liao for her amazing speech at the inauguration.
   iii. Ana Vincenti recognizes Tyler Porterfield for getting the spirit of Chapman award.

II. Old Business

III. Committee Reports
   a. University Affairs Committee
      i. Mitchell Rosenberg: Is looking into developing a Panther Bucks participating partners program in Old Towne Orange.
      ii. Victoria Bohush: met with IS&T with Senator Field about the casting portal for Dodge and CoPA. They sent in a proposal and will meet with a web developer soon.
      iii. Joseph DeCasperis: met with Lauren Kacura, the assistant director of admissions for Dodge and discussed short and long term solutions to the front desk at Dodge. Long-term plans include renovating the main lobby, eliminating the front desk entirely. Short-term plans include better signage and staffing prior to tours.
      iv. Alex Ballard: Is reaching out to his constituents and discovered many complaints with Sodexo and the lack of water bottle refilling stations in the residence halls. Ballard is working toward solutions.
      v. Zacharias Estrada: Is reaching out to the Community Outreach Committee to discuss a possible art exchange or farmer's market.
   b. Academic Affairs Committee
      i. Michelle Zhou: Reaching out to Greek organizations, many asking about classrooms that can be reserved for study space.
      ii. Yasi Sanandaj: Brainstorming ways to get furniture for Crean Atrium
      iii. Judy Weng: Met with Chris Hutchison to book rooms, shared contact of people in the library
      iv. Ansley Wong: Discussed GEs, possibility of expanding FFCs to include more diversity. Wants to explore whether hiring more CES faculty is an option
v. Sarah Tabsh: Completed limiting access to library survey

c. Diversity Affairs Committee
   i. Daryl Zhao - I’m interested in pushing Res Life to consider converting the old Doy’s in Henley Basement to become a multipurpose student space like JBCR.
   ii. Juliane Corpus- I’m working with Yasi on Crean advocacies and I’ll be specifically working on getting mobileprint in the building. Still waiting to meet with the head of psychology department for the career fair idea.
   iii. Jerry Hu- Trying to find an advocacy.

d. Student Outreach Committee
   i. Today we had lots of good conversation with Adam about Respect Orange, which he started, and how Chapman can work with this group to better relations in the community. We spent time going over everyone’s opinions about current relations and how they could be better.
   ii. For the majority of the time we planned and brainstormed for the dog park day event. We have sent information to our graphic designer for the creation of an invitation. Then we will send all of our work to legal affairs where it will hopefully be verified and given the green light. As far as planning goes we have decided on a date and time, which vendors will be there, the entertainment, refreshments, and location. There was lots of great conversation in the meeting and the group is poised to make some great steps in repairing relations.

e. Allocations and Internal Affairs Committee
   i. Peter Scheinman: Still gathering information on the necessity of another printer in Beckman, talked to Mitchell about possible future advocacies
   ii. Nico Scordakis: Wants to improve the student store. Make it an actual store, not just a walk in. It would increase security, and there needs to be signage. Marquee needs to be improved and fixed so people actually look at it. Needs to be hand sanitizer at the cafeteria entrance. Will talk to Chris to find connections for advocacy
   iii. Megan Taban: Looking for new advocacy
   iv. Ana Vincenti: Chris has contacted Mitchell and I back, and is still looking to get us in contact with someone.
   v. Kunal Sharma: In talks with Joe and Mitchell about potential advocacy. Is in contact with Res. Life director to discuss ideas to improve on campus dormitories.

f. Outside Committees
   i. Michelle Zhou met with CERF for the first time this week and they are looking to find a way to bring awareness to using inclusive language because some people aren’t conscious about some things that they say.

IV. New Business

V. Open Forum
   a. Campus Preachers
      i. Sarah Tabsh- I saw protesters on campus that were against LGBTQIA and a wide variety of other groups and I have no idea why they were imposing their beliefs on students.
      ii. Victoria Bohush- These groups of people honestly think this is the right way to go about their religion. This is definitely frustrating as a student
and technically they are allowed on our campus so I am not sure what to do.

iii. Zacharias Estrada- one of the persons that were involved goes to other places to push his views on middle school and high school students. The fact that this is the second time that I’ve seen him, could be a problem because people come to Chapman to be able to feel open about how they identify and if people like him keep coming around, it could hurt the students.

iv. Justin Koppelman- The group was asked to move to the sidewalk because since they technically they were not invited by any entity on campus, and once they are on the sidewalk, it is city property and there really isn’t anything we can do.

v. Peter Scheinman- I think the only reasonable things that SGA can do would be to put out a statement of how we feel about these kinds of preachers.

vi. Ana Vincenti- I think that we all disagree with this group’s believes and I think it is somewhat good for us to experience this stuff. Chapman is a safe place but it can’t always be safe for us, and for us to put out a statement would be the right thing to do but at the same time not condemn that kind of preaching because they do have freedom of speech.

vii. Tyler Porterfield- If we do send out a statement then we should add resources to it that students can go to in order to express their beliefs.

viii. Victoria Bohush- I was honestly trying to have a conversation with him because I am well-versed in the bible as well as I’m sure he is, but he absolutely refused and was very vicious towards the students on campus. Some students hear these comments and they will feel victimized and don’t know how to handle it. I don’t know if he will return to campus, but if he does we should take some precautionary measures.

ix. Yasi Sanandaj- I think it is really important to bring awareness about these events because I think Chapman is neutral and these kind of anomalies don’t happen here a lot but at other campuses, they do. By sending out a statement, I think it will be beneficial to the student body.

b. Leti Romo- Inclusive Language Presentation

i. Inclusive Language

1. Inclusive language is language that is free from words, phrases or tones that reflect prejudiced, stereotyped or discriminatory views of particular people or groups.

2. It is also language that doesn't deliberately or inadvertently exclude people from being seen as part of a group.

3. Inclusive Language creates a sense of belonging; feeling respected, valued for who and/or what you are; feeling a level of supportive energy and commitment from other so that you can do your best work

ii. Importance of Inclusive Language

1. When you don’t use inclusive language you are at risk for creating an Exclusive Environment for the rest of your community. Which can cause

   a. Anxiety or stress

   b. Anger
c. Violence
d. Low gpa
e. Depression
f. Dropout/transfer
g. Suicide
h. Feeling of not being prepared or educated enough

2. Diversity on Campus
   a. As we continue to advocate for diversity, equity and inclusion it is important that we recognize the power of words and the impact that words have on a community

3. Strengthens Group and Self Awareness
   a. Challenge yourself to learn and reteach our ourselves language that is inclusive
   b. You all represent the student body and that means you need to be aware of and empathetic towards people, opinions, feelings that you may or may not hold

4. Prepares you for the future

iii. Language
   1. Language is important in shaping and portraying perceptions and attitudes and is by no means neutral
   2. Choosing certain words an exclude and devalue people
   3. Choosing appropriate words allows us to treat each other with dignity, respect and sensitivity

iv. Tips of Inclusive Language
   1. Don’t single out a person’s sex, race, ethnicity or other personal traits or characteristics (such as sexual orientation, age, body size or a disability) when it has no direct bearing on the topic at hand
   2. Use people first language
   3. Don’t assume pronouns or identities
      a. Use a non-gendered term when speaking to a group of people. Don’t use “hey guys” instead use “hey everyone”
   4. Avoid qualifiers that imply that all members of a specific identity are the same
      a. X identity is really good at math and science
      b. X identity are really good athletes
      c. You people or Those people
      d. Realize that body language is a form of communication

v. Platinum Rule
   1. Treat others the way THEY want to be treated
   2. Direct requests of individuals takes precedence of general inclusive language rules.

vi. Now
   1. Be a Learner- challenge yourself and grow
   2. Be Inquisitive- seek range of perspective
   3. Set the Vision- explain why inclusion is important
   4. Model Inclusive Behaviors- through language, actions
   5. Champion the Effort- be an advocate for change
   6. Speak out when necessary- be an Ally
   7. Hold each other accountable
vii. Be a better ally

c. UPB - Spirit of Chapman

i. Annabell Liao- We opened the nominations on chapmanvotes.com and then reviewed the finalists and had the student body vote on them as well and then two candidates are chosen. Traditionally UPB wasn’t eligible since they host the event but SGA is even though we host the choosing of the winner. There isn’t anything written that specifies that so i wanted to have a conversation about what you think should be done in the future.

ii. Peter Scheinman- I don’t feel comfortable that SGA is the party that decides who the finalists are, especially since we chose 2 of our own people. You could've been completely unbiased but to the others that are outside of this organization it looks bad.

iii. Sarah Tabsh- Possibly having a separate committee would help, that way everyone is eligible for the award.

iv. Imani Woodley- It could be a blind decision, just looking at their resume and such, instead of having everything available so it could be more unbiased.

v. Joe DeCasperis- I don’t think we should exclude anyone, but maybe make a committee that is underclassmen and not seniors so it wouldn’t be a problem.

vi. James Hart- We could make a committee and have executive council provide the bio and how many people voted for them and give it to the committee without a name. That way it could be blind and impartial.

VI. Announcements

a. Retreat

VII. Adjournment

a. Motion- Sarah Tabsh

b. Second- Nicolette Burtis

c. Unanimous