I. Call to Order
   a. Roll Call
      i. Absent: Adam Frey, Ahmad Al-Bunnia, Harrison Holetz, Jerry Hu, Juliane Corpus,
      ii. Late: Elena Dennis, Enoch Cheng, Kunal Sharma, Megan Taban
   b. Approval of Minutes
      i. Motion- Sarah Tabsh
      ii. Second- Joe DeCasperis
   c. Approval of Agenda
      i. Motion- Nico Scordakis
      ii. Second- Ian Policarpio
   d. Address Guests

II. Executive Reports
   a. Director of Finance
      i. Motion to approve the budget- Peter Scheinman
         1. Second- Kunal Sharma
         2. Unanimous Approval
   b. Director of Public Relations
      i. Events
         1. Past
            a. Spring orientation tabling
            b. Elections (helped with)
            c. President & VP announcement
            d. School of comm town hall (helping with)
            e. Ice Cream for Constitutional Change
         2. Future
            a. SGA Banquet: Thursday night possibly
            b. Elections (helping with)
      ii. Ice Cream: Elections
         1. Working w/my committee
         2. Thursday March 30
         3. Af 119B
         4. Tables/chairs and presentation on the amendment
         5. Ice cream for 200 PEOPLE
         6. FB event
         7. Flyers, on campus promo materials
      iii. Elections
         1. Elections posts on FB/Insta
         2. Working w/ Justice & Taos to make graphics for constitutional and senate elections
         3. Food truck in the Piazza Tues-Thurs
            a. 10 dollar voucher for voting!
         4. Going into all Greek life meetings
         5. Emailing club presidents
         6. FB profile photo for SENATE ELECTIONS
         7. FB even pages for both that will list all events throughout elections
and voting period

iv. Odds and Ends
1. As much as I can help, it is up to you to use your best judgement when discussing any senate matters with ANYONE
   a. I can only recommend, not require
2. Newsletter is being handled by Annabell with approval from Tyler and I
3. Currently working on assistant position description for the next Director of PR (you are welcome)
4. Considering applying? Talk to me!
5. Ordering more SGA swag for tabling
6. Senior stoles
7. T-shirts for next year

III. Old Business
a. Off-Campus Eateries Update
   i. To be able to use your declining balance and Pantherbucks in the circle and other restaurants outside of Chapman. Will be meeting with LMU to discuss how they implemented it.

b. VPO
   i. New dorms feedback is suggested especially about the possible food options that can happen.

IV. Committee Reports
a. University Affairs Committee
   i. Alex Ballard: reached out to Eric Cameron to continue his creation of the Sodexo Student Advisory Board.
   ii. Philip Bui: is collaborating with Senator Dennis. Bui is continuing to collect survey results.
   iii. Elena Dennis: facilitated meetings to discuss the health center.
   iv. Joseph DeCasperis: is contacting IS&T about the health center updates in collaboration with Senator Dennis and Senator Bui.
   v. Wil Harris: contacted Roberto Coronel, director of academic advising. Coronel expressed difficulties with having students make appointments online. The registrars office is still not contacting Harris back.

b. Academic Affairs Committee
   i. Michelle Zhou: Gathering signatures for TLT Space Expansion petition. Petition ends 04/03/17 at 3:30PM Op-Ed piece published on the Panther: “TLT needs some TLC.” Resolution statement passed in Senate meeting. Plans to send documents to the Office of the Provost and Vice Provost
   ii. Yasi Sanandaj: Crean newsletter committee trying to meet with Dean Hill regarding trying to send out newsletter to all students rather than website. Will not follow through with sanitizers around campus. New advocacy: want to partner with promising futures program to have a Pre-Health mixer (Crean & Schmid).
   iii. Matthew Ghan: Advocacy complete! Helping official student org to seek internship credits through CDC. Helping a student organization become a recognized organization on campus: International Association Business Communicators (IABC)
   iv. Ansley Wong: Newsletter team going great, met with team Monday. First draft due 04/03/17, to be released 04/17/17. Wants to partner with
Educators in Action to partner with CES. Working on flyer and reaching out to students directly.

v. Enoch Cheng: Helped Senator Zhou revise her petition. Will continue to narrow down advocacies to work on for the remainder of the semester.

vi. Sarah Tabsh: Met with CIO Helen Norris and IS&T to work out a way for student body to have access to NYT subscription. IS&T announced they would cover graduate student population’s portion of the contract. Contract is being updated to include graduate students and shall be sent to legal to review. Met with yearbook committee to discuss next steps. Planning to meet with yearbook advisor, Colleen Wood, in the near future.

c. Diversity Affairs Committee

i. Jerry Hu: is going to find funding for the Pralle printer; there was miscommunication between IS&T and Sherri from Residence Life.

ii. Dorcas Hoi: is working on the newsletter and is trying to create an IES with faculty with an active IES club. Intended time is in the beginning of May.

iii. Ian Policarpio: met with Dean Ongoro and got funding for the newsletter approved before break. Met with Jackie to discuss their COPA Town Hall. His unlimited printing advocacy is in motion and he will be checking up to see if the Dean has any updates on financing the project.

iv. Jackie Palacios: is meeting up with Dean Ongaro this upcoming Monday with Ian to plan their COPA Town Hall. The two of them have come up with points to address and conversation topics. They also got funding approved by COPA for their newsletter and will be in contact with IS&T to get it started.

v. Juliane Corpus: has been meeting with the Yearbook Committee and is hopefully meeting up with Colleen Wood again soon. She has also been contacting the health-related clubs on campus. She is planning on meeting up with Mike Keyser to talk about UPB to gather more research for changing our by-laws about stipends.

d. Community Outreach Committee

i. This week all Community Outreach senators assisted the Director of Elections with the constitutional amendment voting taking place. While the elections committee tabled in the piazza handing out vouchers to the students who participated in voting, our committee had an ice cream bar in AF for the students who voted. Our hope with two locations was to maximize voting by having two different locations.

e. Allocations and Internal Affairs Committee

i. Shelby Stewart: Getting a survey going for Student run convenience store.

ii. Adam Frey: Getting a survey going for Student run convenience store.

iii. Peter Scheinman: Got the printer and working with Student run convenience store.

iv. Nico Scordakis: Met with University Advancement and drafted email for Mr. Murai of Campus Planning.

f. Outside Committees

i. Ian Policarpio-University Academic Affairs committee is looking at a different way to handle the math and english placement programs

ii. Dorcas Hoi- Communications task force wants communication to be more clear and they do have a facebook page about the chapman diversity
V. New Business
   a. Censure: Senator Ahmad Al-Bunia
      i. Sarah Tabsh and Peter Scheinman approve of the censure due to the fact
         that he needs to be present in order to represent the student body properly.
      ii. Motion to approve the censure- Peter Scheinman
          1. Second- Ian Policarpio
          2. Approve-21 Opposed-1 Abstained-1
   b. Allocations Amendment
      i. ARTICLE VII: ACCOUNTABILITY FOR SGA FUNDING
         1. Section 1. All Students and Student Organizations who receive
            funding from SGA are required to abide by the applicable rules
            and regulations laid out by SGA, the Student Conduct Code,
            Chapman’s Fiscal Policies, the State of California, and the
            Federal Government.
         2. Section 2. Definitions
            a. An infraction shall be defined as having violated an SGA
               rule or regulation, the Student Conduct Code, Chapman’s
               Fiscal Policies, State Law, Local Law, or Federal law
               though the use or abuse of any portion of the SGA funding
               process. An intentional misrepresentation of the facts
               surrounding a funding request and its subsequent payment
               shall also constitute an infraction.
         3. Section 3. Those Students and Student Organizations who have
            committed an infraction will be subject to the following process.
         4. Section 4. Upon discovery of the infraction(s) the party made
            aware of the incident shall immediately report it to the SGA
            President, Director of Finance, Director of Justice, and SGA
            Advisors.
            a. The Director of Finance will schedule a meeting between
               the SGA Executive Council, the Director of Justice, the
               SGA Advisors, and the party suspected of committing the
               infraction.
            b. The Director of Finance shall freeze any applications or
               un-reimbursed allocations relating to the Student(s) or
               Student Organization involved in the suspected infraction.
            c. After the Executive Council, Director of Justice, and SGA
               Advisors have met with the Student(s), they will determine a
               proper course of action based on the severity of the
               situation. The Director of Finance will deliver a formal
               report on the potential financial impact on SGA to both the
               Executive Council, the Review Board, and the Senate.
            d. The Executive Council shall recommend the decided upon
               course of action to the Senate.
         5. Section 5. Consequences based on the severity of the infraction.
            a. The party is found to have not committed an infraction
               i. The Executive Council may recommend to Senate
                  that the Student or Student Organization be
                  suspended for up to one (1) month from SGA
funding.

ii. The Senate shall discuss the matter and vote on the Executive Council’s recommendation.
   1. The Senate may amend the recommendation within the aforementioned parameters.
   2. The motion shall pass with a simple majority vote.

b. The party is found to have committed an unintentional or inadvertent infraction.
   i. The Executive Council may recommend to Senate that the Student or Student Organization be suspended for up to one (1) year from SGA funding. The Executive Council may also recommend to the Advisors that the matter be brought to Conduct if applicable.
   ii. The Senate shall discuss the matter and vote on the Executive Council’s recommendation.
      1. The Senate may amend the recommendation within the aforementioned parameters.
      2. The motion shall pass with a simple majority vote.

c. The party is found to have intentionally committed an infraction.
   i. The Executive Council may recommend to Senate that the Student or Student Organization be suspended for up to four (4) years from SGA funding. The Executive Council will automatically recommend to the Advisors that the matter be brought to Conduct if applicable.
   ii. The Senate shall discuss the matter and vote on the Executive Council’s recommendation.
      1. The Senate may amend the recommendation within the aforementioned parameters.
      2. The motion shall pass with a simple majority vote.

6. Section 6. The Appellate Process
   a. A party found to have committed an infraction may appeal the decision to the Director of Justice.
      i. The appeal must be filed within five (5) academic days of having been notified of the decision.
      ii. The appeal shall consist of a written statement clarifying any new or misrepresented information, as well as reasoning as to why the decision is being appealed.
      iii. The Review Board will convene to review the appeal and formulate a recommendation to the Senate.
      iv. The Senate shall discuss the matter and vote on the Review Board’s recommendation.
1. The Senate may amend the recommendation.
2. The motion shall pass with a simple majority vote.

ii. Joe DeCasperis- I understand the need for this, but I don’t understand that if they were found to have no infraction upon them then they shouldn’t have to be put on a probation period.
   1. James Hart- The probation period is optional and it’s a case by case basis.

iii. Megan Taban- I really like the layout of this but I’d like to know why allocations doesn’t handle this themselves.
   1. James Hart- It’s something that they shouldn’t have to handle on their own.

iv. Motion to approve- Sarah Tabsh
   1. Second- Yasi Sanandaj
   2. Approval is Unanimous

c. Professor Tom Zoellner
i. Tom- This presentation is more of a Q&A about the article that I have written “It’s Time to do Away with SGA”. I appreciate all of your opinions on what you thought about it. I’m sure you’re all wondering why a English professor cares about SGA, but this is something that has been building over the years. During the time of the Orange Party Ordinance, students were disgraced in the City Council and SGA did not do anything to help the situation. There are also a couple things that have happened this year, you’ve had two unopposed elections, which meant here has been a lack of civic engagement. SGA needs to do a better job at PR but it’s a lot more than that and I think the current structure has a flaw. You have a consistent problem with resignation and seats not being filled. There’s also a psychological factor in this body because we are in an era where people don’t tend to trust the government and that’s a problem. I do think that students can handle their own affairs but maybe in a different way.

ii. Sarah Tabsh- Thank you for coming in today. I am mostly concerned with the fact that the article was mainly focused on Josh Nudelman and the ordinance.

iii. Peter Scheinman- We acknowledge the past but what happened in the years past was not us and therefore to say that we are at fault is not okay. Also to get your information from just the Panther, the Panther tends to write about the negative things that SGA does and not many positive ones.

iv. Joe DeCasperis- I appreciate you coming in today but I would like to hear your opinions on this year’s Senate not the past and what exactly you’d think would be better for us.
   1. Tom- I think a lot of the problem would be the fact that you get paid. I’m not sure how much you get paid but I think that getting paid diminishes the passion you might have for bettering the student body. I do think shrinking SGA would help.

v. Megan Taban- You said that getting paid takes away the passion but I ran for this position without knowing that we get paid. So I would just like to say that students do run because of their interest in SGA and bettering the student body. Not because we get paid.

vi. Tyler Porterfield- I would just like to thank you for coming in again and
also I’d like to say that if students are coming to you as a resource then I encourage you to point them in our direction that way they can see what we do and we can address their issues and concerns.

d. Resolution: Tutoring, Learning, and Testing Center
   i. The Problem
      1. The Tutoring, Learning, and Testing Center (TLT) has inadequate space to provide a productive learning environment.
      2. During peak hours (1:00 PM - 4:30 PM), the TLT often surpasses its maximum occupancy of 38 people.
      3. The TLT provides services to 4070 students as of Spring 2017, yet can only serve 38 students (not including tutors, supplemental instructors, and teacher aides) in its facility.
      4. The spaces are small, resulting in tutors talking over each other, which would not allow for a productive learning environment.
      5. The TLT is not American Disabilities Act (ADA) compliant due to aisles that are less than 36 inches.
      6. As a University that prides itself on free tutoring, it fails to provide sufficient space for students in order to create a productive learning environment.
      7. Safety concerns include crowded aisles, exposed electrical outlets, ADA compliance, and comfortability.
   ii. The Space and its Numbers
      1. Table Dimensions: 48 in. x 24 in.
      2. Distance between chairs: 5.4 inches Only 11 of the 20 tables have computer desktops.
      3. An additional 3 tables are reserved for the writing center and student aid.
      4. 13.1 inches back-to-back without someone behind them in the smallest cubicles.
      5. 36.2 inches back-to-back without someone behind in them in the biggest cubicles.
      6. ADA COMPLIANT ISSUES:
         a. Narrowest Aisles: 33.51 inches, 34.5 inches, 35.6 inches
         b. Widest Aisles: 36 inches, 37.6 inches, 43 inches
         c. To be ADA compliant, they must be at least 36 inches wide.
            Whether or not the aisles are shifted, there will still be at least one aisle that is not ADA compliant (Department of Justice's 2010 ADA Standards, Chapter 4, Section 403.5.1).
   iii. Issues With Close Proximity
      1. Overlap of conversations between two tutoring sessions, which create a distracting environment. Not enough resources are shareable (i.e whiteboards and desktops).
      2. Due to space constraints, personal space is often violated. It is not always a one-on-one basis. Oftentimes, tutors or supplemental instructors are helping groups of 2-3 students.
   iv. Sarah Tabsh- I praise your perseverance and continuing to work on this the entire year. I admire for your work for this.
   v. Yasi Sanandaj- Where will the expansion take place?
      1. Michelle Zhou- There will only be short term fixes such as using
AF boardrooms or using Henley basement in the meantime before DeMille gets rebuilt.

vi. Motion to approve this resolution- Joe DeCasperis
   1. Second- Megan Taban
   2. Approval is Unanimous

e. Funding Request: Asian Pacific Student Association
   i. Total Requested: $10,324.79
   ii. Kunal Sharma- The most important thing for them would be the performers and the Sodexo food.
   iii. Guest- We’ve also cut a lot of our costs to about $9000 by fundraising and using our school budget, but we only need $8000 now. This event is also free and accessible to everyone.
   iv. Ian Policarpio- Their headliner David Choi is famous worldwide and for them to get almost a 40% discount is unheard of which is great.
   v. Peter Scheinman- They need $8000 but Allocations recommended $5000 because of the fact that we only have $10,000, but I think we can fund $7000 and still be able to fund responsibly the other requests we have. We’ve also funded other events that are not open to every student while this one is and I support funding this.
   vi. Joe DeCasperis- I do think $7000 is fair to fund due to the fact that they’ve cut down the costs so much.
   vii. Motion to approve $7000- Megan Taban
       1. Second- Nicolette Burtis
       2. Approval is Unanimous

f. Funding Request: College of Performing Arts
   i. Total Requested: $4477
   ii. Yasi Sanandaj- I love this event and love that this is interdisciplinary and I think this is a great event that Chapman can host.
   iii. Mitchell Rosenberg- The only problem I have with this is that some of these shirts will not be going to Chapman students or Chapman affiliated people.
   iv. James Hart- SGA has funded things before that has not gone to to the student body so I don’t think we should cut the funding.
   v. Motion to fund in full- Peter Scheinman
      1. Second- Joe DeCasperis
      2. Approval is Unanimous

g. Funding Request: Accounting Society
   i. Total Requested: $7,556.50
   ii. Peter Scheinman- This is huge for students to get jobs and I think we should fund this in full.
   iii. Motion to fund in full- Peter Scheinman
      1. Second- Michelle
      2. Approve-18 Opposed-0 Abstained-3

h. Funding Request: Chapman Hillel
   i. Total Requested: $394.64 per person, $3,946.40 total
   ii. Motion to fund in full- Peter Scheinman
      1. Second- Shelby Stewart
      2. Approve-18 Opposed-0 Abstained-3

b. Motion to extend meeting to up to 30 Minutes- Peter Scheinman
i. Second- Megan Taban  
ii. Approve-18 Opposed-1 Abstained-2  
i. Funding Request: Chapman Investment Group  
i. Total Requested: $1650  
ii. Motion to fund in full- Peter Scheinman  
1. Second- Nicolette Burtis  
2. Approve-18 Opposed-0 Abstained-3  

j. OOMF OmniCharge Funding Request  
i. Total Requested: $1,613.55  
ii. The Problem  
1. Students often cannot charge their devices (smartphones, tablets, AND laptops) in many areas, such as Starbucks, some classrooms, etc.  
2. This is a concern we have heard over and over again and have tried to address.  

iii. The Solution  
1. OOMF Charging Stations  
2. How it works  
   a. Activate  
      i. First, create an account using an email or Facebook credentials. Then identify your device using the our app. Once identified, your device will be activated and ready for use. (15-30 seconds)  
   b. Charge  
      i. Connect your device to your portable charger. Take it with you wherever you go, the world is your oyster.  
   c. Return  
      i. Keep your charger up to 4-6 hours. Whenever you’re finished, simply return it to any charging station on campus so that the next Oomf user can share it too.  

3. Pricing  
   a. Leasing fee for the first 12 months  
      i. $2,500/unit  
   b. After that, only monthly charge for the cloud service  
      i. $50/unit/month  

4. We would pay  
   a. 50% of unit fee  
      i. $1,613.55  

5. Hopefully to be installed and ready by May.  
iv. Nico Scordakis- Thank you for putting this all together and I was just interested in what the students who tried it out have to say.  
v. James Hart- This is so cool and it’s so small and you can carry it around everywhere, it works really well.  
vi. Motion to fund in full- Nicolette Burtis  
1. Second- Elena Dennis  
2. Approve-18 Opposed-0 Abstained-1  

VI. Open Forum
VII. Announcements
   a. Constitutional Election
   b. Monthly Reports are due Sunday! Fill out document attached.
   c. Diversity Affairs Town Hall on Tuesday

VIII. Adjournment
   a. Motion- Joe DeCasperis
   b. Second- Dorcas Hoi
   c. Unanimous