CHAPMAN UNIVERSITY

Engaging and Supporting All Students in Learning

1. Use knowledge of students'

How well did your teacher preparation program prepare you to do each of the following as a teacher?

		strengths and prior experiences to engage them in learning			the real	ect classro world	om learni	ng to	promote their critical thinking				
	Prog	Program		Statewide		gram	n State		Progran		State	atewide	
	8	100.0%	4183	99.3%	8	100.0%	4180	99.2%	8	100.0%	4174	99.1%	
1 = Not at all	0	0.0%	8	0.2%	0	0.0%	7	0.2%	0	0.0%	6	0.1%	
2 = Poorly	0	0.0%	28	0.7%	0	0.0%	56	1.3%	0	0.0%	42	1.0%	
3 = Adequately	1	12.5%	398	9.5%	0	0.0%	460	11.0%	0	0.0%	494	11.8%	
4 = Well	0	0.0%	1280	30.6%	2	25.0%	1277	30.6%	1	12.5%	1313	31.5%	
5 = Very well	7	87.5%	2469	59.0%	6	75.0%	2380	56.9%	7	87.5%	2319	55.6%	

4. Meet the instructional needs of English learners

0.71

4.48

0.71

4.75

5. Identify and address special learning needs with appropriate teaching strategies

0.46

4.43

0.75

4.88

4.75

	Pro	Program		ewide	Pro	gram	State	ewide	
	8	100.0%	4171	99.0%	8	100.0%	4178	99.2%	
1 = Not at all	0	0.0%	6	0.1%	0	0.0%	16	0.4%	
2 = Poorly	0	0.0%	62	1.5%	0	0.0%	123	2.9%	
3 = Adequately	0	0.0%	557	13.4%	0	0.0%	696	16.7%	
4 = Well	0	0.0%	1304	31.3%	2	25.0%	1424	34.1%	
5 = Very well	8	100.0%	2242	53.8%	6	75.0%	1919	45.9%	
Mean : SD	5.00	0.00	4.37	0.78	4.75	0.46	4.22	0.85	

Mean : SD

Creating and Maintaining Effective Environments for Student Learning

How well did your teacher preparation program prepare you to do each of the following as a teacher?

6. Engage students in cooperative
group work as well as independent
learning

Establish and maintain a safe and respectful learning environment for all students

8. Create a productive learning environment with high expectations for all students

Date: 11/2/2017

3. Engage students in inquiry,

0.35

4.41

0.75

	Pro	Program		Statewide		Program		wide	e Program		Statewi		
	8	100.0%	4162	98.8%	8	100.0%	4162	98.8%	8	100.0%	4149	98.5%	
1 = Not at all	0	0.0%	6	0.1%	0	0.0%	5	0.1%	0	0.0%	6	0.1%	
2 = Poorly	0	0.0%	38	0.9%	0	0.0%	29	0.7%	0	0.0%	40	1.0%	
3 = Adequately	0	0.0%	402	9.7%	0	0.0%	313	7.5%	0	0.0%	373	9.0%	
4 = Well	0	0.0%	1287	30.9%	1	12.5%	1050	25.2%	0	0.0%	1150	27.7%	
5 = Very well	8	100.0%	2429	58.4%	7	87.5%	2765	66.4%	8	100.0%	2580	62.2%	
Mean :	SD 5.00	0.00	4.46	0.72	4.88	0.35	4.57	0.67	5.00	0.00	4.51	0.71	

CHAPMAN UNIVERSITY

Understanding and Organizing Subject Matter for Student Learning

How well did your teacher preparation program prepare you to do each of the following as a teacher?		lop curricul standards (strategie	effective ir es to teach and skills			 Select, adapt, and develop materials, resources, and technologies to make subject matter accessible to all students 				
	Prog	gram	n Statewide		Program		Statewide		Program		ram Statew		
	8	100.0%	3926	93.2%	8	100.0%	3927	93.2%	8	100.0%	3921	93.1%	
1 = Not at all	0	0.0%	11	0.3%	0	0.0%	6	0.2%	0	0.0%	6	0.2%	
2 = Poorly	0	0.0%	81	2.1%	0	0.0%	42	1.1%	0	0.0%	58	1.5%	
3 = Adequately	0	0.0%	540	13.8%	0	0.0%	427	10.9%	0	0.0%	497	12.7%	
4 = Well	4	50.0%	1351	34.4%	2	25.0%	1346	34.3%	0	0.0%	1368	34.9%	
5 = Very well	4	50.0%	1943	49.5%	6	75.0%	2106	53.6%	8	100.0%	1992	50.8%	
Mean : SD	4.50	0.53	4.31	0.80	4.75	0.46	4.40	0.74	5.00	0.00	4.35	0.77	

Planning Instruction and Designing Learning Experiences for All Students

How well did your teacher preparation program prepare you to do each of the following as a teacher?

12. Plan instruction based on students' prior knowledge, academic readiness, language proficiency, cultural background, and individual development

13. Plan and adapt instruction that incorporates appropriate strategies, resources and technologies to meet the learning needs of all students

Date: 11/2/2017

ollowing as a teacher?					= -					
	Pro	gram Statewide		ewide	Pro	gram	Statewide			
	8	100.0%	3916	93.0%	8	100.0%	3914	92.9%		
1 = Not at all	0	0.0%	3	0.1%	0	0.0%	5	0.1%		
2 = Poorly	0	0.0%	42	1.1%	0	0.0%	45	1.1%		
3 = Adequately	0	0.0%	385	9.8%	0	0.0%	407	10.4%		
4 = Well	0	0.0%	1225	31.3%	1	12.5%	1303	33.3%		
5 = Very well	8	100.0%	2261	57.7%	7	87.5%	2154	55.0%		
Mean : SD	5.00	0.00	4.46	0.72	4.88	0.35	4.42	0.73		

Assessing Students for Learning

How well did your teacher preparation program prepare you to do each of the	14. Develop and usering to be a contract to be a contract. The contract to be a contract	h learning	goals and		assessm	olve all stud nent, goal s ing progres	etting, ar		16. Give productive feedback to students to guide their learning				
following as a teacher?	Pro	gram	State	ewide	Program		ram State		Program		Statewide		
	8	100.0%	3902	92.6%	8	100.0%	3897	92.5%	8	100.0%	3902	92.6%	
1 = Not at all	0	0.0%	12	0.3%	0	0.0%	13	0.3%	0	0.0%	11	0.3%	
2 = Poorly	0	0.0%	73	1.9%	0	0.0%	116	3.0%	0	0.0%	62	1.6%	
3 = Adequately	0	0.0%	582	14.9%	0	0.0%	684	17.6%	0	0.0%	535	13.7%	
4 = Well	0	0.0%	1359	34.8%	1	12.5%	1361	34.9%	2	25.0%	1303	33.4%	
5 = Very well	8	100.0%	1876	48.1%	7	87.5%	1723	44.2%	6	75.0%	1991	51.0%	
 Mea	nn : SD 5.00	0.00	4.28	0.81	4.88	0.35	4.20	0.85	4.75	0.46	4.33	0.79	

CHAPMAN UNIVERSITY

How well did your teacher preparation program prepare you to do each of the following as a teacher?	17. Evaluate the effects of your actions on student learning and modify plans accordingly					k with fam and studen arning			19. Wor			
	Prog	Program		wide	Program		Statewide		Program		ram Statewi	
	8	100.0%	3777	89.7%	8	100.0%	3785	89.8%	8	100.0%	3782	89.8%
1 = Not at all	0	0.0%	6	0.2%	0	0.0%	33	0.9%	0	0.0%	18	0.5%
2 = Poorly	0	0.0%	60	1.6%	0	0.0%	142	3.8%	0	0.0%	88	2.3%
3 = Adequately	0	0.0%	464	12.3%	0	0.0%	828	21.9%	0	0.0%	540	14.3%
4 = Well	2	25.0%	1347	35.7%	0	0.0%	1274	33.7%	0	0.0%	1199	31.7%
5 = Very well	6	75.0%	1900	50.3%	8	100.0%	1508	39.8%	8	100.0%	1937	51.2%

Content for Multiple Subjects

0.46

4.34

0.77

5.00

0.00

4.08

0.92

5.00

0.00

4.31

0.83

4.75

Mean : SD

How well did your preparation program prepare you to teach each of the following subjects:

subjects:	20. Eng	lish Literac	y/Langua	ge Arts	21. Matl	nematics			22. Science			
	Pro	gram	State	ewide	Prog	gram	Statewide		Program		Statewide	
	8	100.0%	3783	89.8%	8	100.0%	3788	89.9%	8	100.0%	3772	89.5%
1 = Not at all	0	0.0%	8	0.2%	0	0.0%	19	0.5%	0	0.0%	35	0.9%
2 = Poorly	0	0.0%	47	1.2%	0	0.0%	102	2.7%	0	0.0%	157	4.2%
3 = Adequately	0	0.0%	409	10.8%	0	0.0%	567	15.0%	2	25.0%	768	20.4%
4 = Well	2	25.0%	1217	32.2%	2	25.0%	1227	32.4%	4	50.0%	1318	34.9%
5 = Very well	6	75.0%	2102	55.6%	6	75.0%	1873	49.4%	2	25.0%	1494	39.6%
Mean : SD	4.75	0.46	4.42	0.75	4.75	0.46	4.28	0.85	4.00	0.76	4.08	0.92

	23. Hist	23. History/Social Studies				tive/Fine a	irts		25. Phys	:h		
	Pro	Program		wide	Program		State	wide	Program		am Statev	
	8	100.0%	3781	89.7%	8	100.0%	3766	89.4%	8	100.0%	3756	89.2%
1 = Not at all	0	0.0%	45	1.2%	0	0.0%	118	3.1%	0	0.0%	133	3.5%
2 = Poorly	0	0.0%	161	4.3%	0	0.0%	257	6.8%	0	0.0%	332	8.8%
3 = Adequately	0	0.0%	840	22.2%	2	25.0%	999	26.5%	2	25.0%	1078	28.7%
4 = Well	5	62.5%	1331	35.2%	3	37.5%	1214	32.2%	4	50.0%	1158	30.8%
5 = Very well	3	37.5%	1404	37.1%	3	37.5%	1178	31.3%	2	25.0%	1055	28.1%
Mean:	SD 4.38	0.52	4.03	0.93	4.13	0.83	3.82	1.05	4.00	0.76	3.71	1.08

CHAPMAN UNIVERSITY

Field Experiences

26. Which of the following best describes the kind of clinical experience you had during your preparation (check all	Prog	ıram	Statewide		
that apply):	7	87.5%	3756	89.2%	
Student teaching with a cooperating teacher	7	87.5%	2919	69.3%	
Teaching fellow or intern in a program where I served as teacher of record while taking courses for my credential	1	12.5%	863	20.5%	
Teaching on an emergency credential [PIP/ STP] while taking courses for my credential	2	25.0%	263	6.2%	

27. How often did preparation program faculty or staff communicate with you in person or by other means about your teaching practice?

	Prog	gram	Statewide			
	8	100.0%	3756	89.2%		
1 = Less than once per month	0	0.0%	107	2.8%		
2 = Once per month	0	0.0%	303	8.1%		
3 = Twice per month	2	25.0%	736	19.6%		
4 = Once per week	3	37.5%	1270	33.8%		
5 = 2-3 times per week	2	25.0%	1020	27.2%		
6 = Daily	1	12.5%	320	8.5%		
Mean : SD	4.25	1.04	4.00	1.18		

28. How often did preparation program faculty or supervisors observe your classroom instruction and provide feedback during your clinical practice?

	Prog	gram	State	ewide
	8	100.0%	3744	88.9%
1 = Once or twice	1	12.5%	87	2.3%
2 = 3-5 times	0	0.0%	679	18.1%
3 = 6-10 times	4	50.0%	1416	37.8%
4 = 11-15 times	1	12.5%	720	19.2%
5 = 16-20 times	2	25.0%	474	12.7%
6 = More than 20 times	0	0.0%	368	9.8%
M	ean : SD 3.38	1.30	3.51	1.26

 $29.\ \mbox{My}$ field experiences helped me integrate and apply the major ideas developed through program coursework

	Pro	gram	Statewide			
	8	100.0%	3752	89.1%		
1 = Strongly Disagree	0	0.0%	705	18.8%		
2 = Disagree	0	0.0%	470	12.5%		
3 = Neutral	0	0.0%	167	4.5%		
4 = Agree	1	12.5%	916	24.4%		
5 = Strongly Agree	7	87.5%	1494	39.8%		
Mean:	SD 4.88	0.35	3.54	1.56		

30. My cooperating teacher(s) (select all that apply):

	Pro	gram	Statewide			
	8	100.0%	3672	87.2%		
Frequently observed my teaching and met with me to						
offer feedback	8	100.0%	3067	72.8%		
Was an excellent educator and a valuable role model	7	87.5%	3157	74.9%		
Modeled effective practices	7	87.5%	3134	74.4%		
Helped me plan and organize curriculum materials	8	100.0%	2912	69.1%		
Offered useful strategies and advice about my teaching	7	87.5%	3270	77.6%		
Helped me reflect on my practice	5	62.5%	3035	72.0%		
Helped me to solve teaching problems	6	75.0%	3011	71.5%		
Was knowledgeable about and able to provide support						
for field-based assignments	8	100.0%	3037	72.1%		

Date: 11/2/2017

CHAPMAN UNIVERSITY

31. Approximately how much time did you spend in student teaching (in the classroom of a cooperating teacher) as part of your supervised fieldwork?

	Pro	gram	Statewide			
	8	100.0%	3390	80.5%		
1 = Less than 100 hours	0	0.0%	63	2.1%		
2 = 100 - 299 hours	1	12.5%	297	9.8%		
3 = 300 - 599 hours	1	12.5%	905	29.8%		
4 = 600 - 799 hours	3	37.5%	897	29.6%		
5 = 800 hours or more	3	37.5%	871	28.7%		
This question does not apply to me because						
I did not do any student teaching. **	0	-	357	-		
Mean : SD	4.00	1.07	3.73	1.04		

32. Approximately how much time did you spend in **an internship placement** as teacher of record as part of your fieldwork?

	Prog	gram	State	wide
	8	100.0%	2738	65.0%
1 = Less than 100 hours	1	25.0%	23	2.2%
2 = 100 - 299 hours	1	25.0%	81	7.8%
3 = 300 - 599 hours	1	25.0%	173	16.6%
4 = 600 - 799 hours	0	0.0%	181	17.4%
5 = 800 hours or more	1	25.0%	585	56.1%
Does not apply/I was not in an internship **	4	-	1695	-
Mean : SD	2.75	1.71	4.17	1.10

33. **If you served in an internship placement**, how often did your assigned mentor observe your classroom instruction and provide feedback and assistance during your clinical practice?

	Pro	gram	State	ewide
	8	100.0%	2726	64.7%
1 = Once or twice	0	0.0%	67	6.9%
2 = 3-5 times	1	25.0%	162	16.7%
3 = 6-10 times	1	25.0%	230	23.7%
4 = 11-15 times	1	25.0%	135	13.9%
5 = 16-20 times	0	0.0%	141	14.5%
6 = More than 20 times	1	25.0%	237	24.4%
Does not apply/I was not in an internship **	4	-	1754	-
Mean : Si	D 3.75	1.71	3.86	1.62

Content for Multiple Subjects: English Language Arts

34. In your teacher preparation program, how much opportunity did you have to do each of the following?	a) Learn skills) Learn ways to teach decoding kills				b) Learn ways to build student			predictio				e d) Learn how to support oldestudents in learning to read			
	Prog	ıram	State	wide	Prog	gram	State	wide	Prog	gram	State	wide	Prog	ram	State	wide
	7	87.5%	3639	86.4%	7	87.5%	3637	86.3%	7	87.5%	3631	86.2%	7	87.5%	3607	85.6%
1 = None	0	0.0%	69	1.9%	0	0.0%	15	0.4%	0	0.0%	22	0.6%	0	0.0%	110	3.0%
2 = Touched on it Briefly	0	0.0%	350	9.6%	0	0.0%	153	4.2%	0	0.0%	180	5.0%	0	0.0%	459	12.7%
3 = Spent Time Discussing or Doing	1	14.3%	852	23.4%	0	0.0%	649	17.8%	0	0.0%	684	18.8%	2	28.6%	903	25.0%
4 = Explored in Some Depth	5	71.4%	1345	37.0%	1	14.3%	1277	35.1%	2	28.6%	1338	36.8%	0	0.0%	1205	33.4%
5 = Extensive Opportunity	1	14.3%	1023	28.1%	6	85.7%	1543	42.4%	5	71.4%	1407	38.7%	5	71.4%	930	25.8%
Mean : SD	4.00	0.58	3.80	1.01	4.86	0.38	4.15	0.89	4.71	0.49	4.08	0.91	4.43	0.98	3.66	1.09

CHAPMAN UNIVERSITY

	writing t		teach reac s at differ abilities		f) Learn prior kn	how to actowledge	dents'	g) Listen to an individual child read aloud for the purpose of assessing his/her reading achievement				h) Plan and teach a guided lesson			reading	
	Prog	gram	State	ewide	Prog	gram	State	wide	Pro	gram	State	ewide	Prog	gram	State	ewide
	7	87.5%	3631	86.2%	7	87.5%	3628	86.1%	6	75.0%	3627	86.1%	7	87.5%	3624	86.0%
1 = None	0	0.0%	23	0.6%	0	0.0%	6	0.2%	0	0.0%	34	0.9%	0	0.0%	41	1.1%
2 = Touched on it Briefly	0	0.0%	191	5.3%	0	0.0%	73	2.0%	0	0.0%	151	4.2%	0	0.0%	173	4.8%
3 = Spent Time Discussing or Doing	0	0.0%	620	17.1%	0	0.0%	399	11.0%	0	0.0%	523	14.4%	0	0.0%	550	15.2%
4 = Explored in Some Depth	2	28.6%	1303	35.9%	1	14.3%	1162	32.0%	0	0.0%	1178	32.5%	0	0.0%	1092	30.1%
5 = Extensive Opportunity	5	71.4%	1494	41.1%	6	85.7%	1988	54.8%	6	100.0%	1741	48.0%	7	100.0%	1768	48.8%
Mean : SD	4.71	0.49	4.12	0.91	4.86	0.38	4.39	0.77	5.00	0.00	4.22	0.91	5.00	0.00	4.21	0.94

		i) Learn to teach students to				udent read o address rove your	student n		 k) Practice what you learned about teaching reading in your field experiences 			
	Prog	Program		Statewide		Program		wide	Program		Statewide	
	7	87.5%	3627	86.1%	7	87.5%	3627	86.1%	7	87.5%	3624	86.0%
1 = None	0	0.0%	28	0.8%	0	0.0%	21	0.6%	0	0.0%	27	0.7%
2 = Touched on it Briefly	0	0.0%	211	5.8%	0	0.0%	157	4.3%	0	0.0%	101	2.8%
3 = Spent Time Discussing or Doing	1	14.3%	634	17.5%	0	0.0%	581	16.0%	0	0.0%	470	13.0%
4 = Explored in Some Depth	1	14.3%	1229	33.9%	1	14.3%	1242	34.2%	2	28.6%	1187	32.8%
5 = Extensive Opportunity	5	71.4%	1525	42.0%	6	85.7%	1626	44.8%	5	71.4%	1839	50.7%
Mean : SD	4.57	0.79	4.11	0.94	4.86	0.38	4.18	0.89	4.71	0.49	4.30	0.85

		state stan language a			m) Study, critique or adapt reading curriculum materials					
	Prog	gram	Statewide		Prog	gram	State	ewide		
	7	87.5%	3628	86.1%	7	87.5%	3625	86.0%		
1 = None	0	0.0%	18	0.5%	0	0.0%	62	1.7%		
2 = Touched on it Briefly	0	0.0%	117	3.2%	0	0.0%	241	6.6%		
3 = Spent Time Discussing or Doing	0	0.0%	518	14.3%	0	0.0%	659	18.2%		
4 = Explored in Some Depth	1	14.3%	1072	29.5%	2	28.6%	1238	34.2%		
5 = Extensive Opportunity	6	85.7%	1903	52.5%	5	71.4%	1425	39.3%		
Mean : SD	4.86	0.38	4.30	0.87	4.71	0.49	4.03	1.00		

Date: 11/2/2017

CHAPMAN UNIVERSITY

Content for Multiple Subjects: Mathematics

35. In your teacher preparation
program, how much opportunity did
you have to do each of the following?

a) Learn typical difficulties students have with place value

b) Learn typical difficulties students have with fractions

 c) Use representations (e.g., geometric representation, graphs, number lines) to show explicitly why a procedure works

d) Prove that a solution is valid or that a method works for all similar cases

	nave with place value			nave with fractions				wny a pi	oceaure w	orks		cases				
	Prog	Program		wide	Program		Statewide		Program		Statewide		Program		Statewide	
	7	87.5%	3600	85.4%	7	87.5%	3591	85.2%	7	87.5%	3593	85.3%	7	87.5%	3591	85.2%
1 = None	0	0.0%	215	6.0%	0	0.0%	251	7.0%	0	0.0%	137	3.8%	0	0.0%	150	4.2%
2 = Touched on it Briefly	0	0.0%	435	12.1%	0	0.0%	441	12.3%	0	0.0%	343	9.5%	0	0.0%	364	10.1%
3 = Spent Time Discussing or Doing	2	28.6%	776	21.6%	1	14.3%	750	20.9%	1	14.3%	691	19.2%	0	0.0%	780	21.7%
4 = Explored in Some Depth	1	14.3%	1143	31.8%	2	28.6%	1085	30.2%	2	28.6%	1138	31.7%	3	42.9%	1197	33.3%
5 = Extensive Opportunity	4	57.1%	1031	28.6%	4	57.1%	1064	29.6%	4	57.1%	1284	35.7%	4	57.1%	1100	30.6%
Mean : SD	4.29	0.95	3.65	1.18	4.43	0.79	3.63	1.22	4.43	0.79	3.86	1.12	4.57	0.53	3.76	1.12

e) Study, critique, or adapt math curriculum materials

f) Learn how to facilitate math learning for students in small groups

g) Adapt math lessons for students with diverse needs and learning

	curricul	um materi	als		groups				styles			
	Pro	Program		Statewide		Program		wide	Program		State	wide
	7	87.5%	3598	85.4%	7	87.5%	3601	85.5%	7	87.5%	3608	85.6%
1 = None	0	0.0%	105	2.9%	0	0.0%	73	2.0%	0	0.0%	60	1.7%
2 = Touched on it Briefly	0	0.0%	286	7.9%	0	0.0%	276	7.7%	0	0.0%	253	7.0%
3 = Spent Time Discussing or Doing	1	14.3%	700	19.5%	0	0.0%	661	18.4%	1	14.3%	648	18.0%
4 = Explored in Some Depth	0	0.0%	1167	32.4%	1	14.3%	1121	31.1%	0	0.0%	1139	31.6%
5 = Extensive Opportunity	6	6 85.7%		37.2%	6	85.7%	1470	40.8%	6	85.7%	1508	41.8%
Mean : S	5D 4.71	0.76	3.93	1.07	4.86	0.38	4.01	1.04	4.71	0.76	4.05	1.01

h) Practice what you learned about teaching math in your field experience

i) Study national or state standards for mathematics

j) Review local district mathematics

Date: 11/2/2017

	experience					ematics			curriculu	m		
	Prog	Program		Statewide		gram	State	wide	Program		Statewide	
	7	87.5%	3601	85.5%	7	87.5%	3600	85.4%	7	87.5%	3595	85.3%
1 = None	0	0.0%	46	1.3%	0	0.0%	47	1.3%	0	0.0%	239	6.6%
2 = Touched on it Briefly	0	0.0%	176	4.9%	0	0.0%	216	6.0%	0	0.0%	401	11.2%
3 = Spent Time Discussing or Doing	1	14.3%	555	15.4%	0	0.0%	557	15.5%	0	0.0%	658	18.3%
4 = Explored in Some Depth	2	28.6%	1054	29.3%	2	28.6%	1099	30.5%	2	28.6%	1006	28.0%
5 = Extensive Opportunity	4	57.1%	1770	49.2%	5	71.4%	1681	46.7%	5	71.4%	1291	35.9%
Mean : SD	4.43	0.79	4.20	0.96	4.71	0.49	4.15	0.98	4.71	0.49	3.75	1.24

CHAPMAN UNIVERSITY

General

36. Overall, how effective was your teacher preparation program at developing the skills or tools you needed to become a teacher?

	0 0.0% 23 0 0.0% 233 1 14.3% 1227 3			
	Prog	ıram	State	wide
	7	87.5%	3550	84.3%
1 = Not at all effective	0	0.0%	23	0.6%
2 = Somewhat effective	0	0.0%	233	6.6%
3 = Effective	1	14.3%	1227	34.6%
4 = Very effective	6	85.7%	2067	58.2%
Mean : SD	3.86	0.38	3.50	0.65

Demographics

Demographic section omitted as the number of survey responders is too small for reporting.

	Number of Program Completers Asked to Participate in Survey	# and of Respo	
Program:	8	8	100.0 %
Statewide:	4355	4213	96.7 %

'Respondents' are those program completers who answered a minimum of 1 non-demographic question.

CHAPMAN UNIVERSITY

Engaging and Supporting All Students in Learning

1. Use knowledge of students'

How well did your teacher preparation program prepare you to do each of the following as a teacher?

	strengths engage th			ces to	2. Conne the real	ect classro world	om learni	ng to	problem promote			
	Progi	ram	Statewide		Program		Statewide		Program		State	ewide
	16	100.0%	3670	99.2%	16	100.0%	3662	99.0%	16	100.0%	3665	99.1%
1 = Not at all	0	0.0%	3	0.1%	0	0.0%	6	0.2%	0	0.0%	9	0.2%
2 = Poorly	0	0.0%	50	1.4%	0	0.0%	104	2.8%	0	0.0%	70	1.9%
3 = Adequately	0	0.0%	476	13.0%	4	25.0%	548	15.0%	0	0.0%	499	13.6%
4 = Well	9	56.3%	1255	34.2%	3	18.8%	1204	32.9%	8	50.0%	1227	33.5%
5 = Very well	7	43.8%	1886	51.4%	9	56.3%	1800	49.2%	8	50.0%	1860	50.8%

4. Meet the instructional needs of English learners

0.51

4.35

0.76

4.31

4.44

5. Identify and address special learning needs with appropriate teaching strategies

0.87

4.28

0.83

4.50

3. Engage students in inquiry,

0.52

4.33

Date: 11/3/2017

0.80

	Pro	Program		wide	Pro	Program		ewide
	16	100.0%	3659	98.9%	16	100.0%	3665	99.1%
1 = Not at all	0	0.0%	5	0.1%	0	0.0%	8	0.2%
2 = Poorly	0	0.0%	99	2.7%	0	0.0%	128	3.5%
3 = Adequately	3	18.8%	667	18.2%	2	12.5%	670	18.3%
4 = Well	7	43.8%	1213	33.2%	8	50.0%	1252	34.2%
5 = Very well	6	37.5%	1675	45.8%	6	37.5%	1607	43.8%
Mean : S	4 .19	0.75	4.22	0.84	4.25	0.68	4.18	0.87

Mean : SD

Creating and Maintaining Effective Environments for Student Learning

How well did your teacher preparation program prepare you to do each of the following as a teacher?

		ge student: /ork as wel J				lish and ma ul learning ents			8. Create environn for all st			
	Pro	gram	Statewide		Program		Statewide		Prog	rogram St		wide
	16	100.0%	2677	72.4%	16	100.0%	3645	98.5%	16 100.0%		3646	98.6%
1 = Not at all	0	0 0.0% 0 0.0%		0.1%	0	0.0%	5	0.1%	0	0.0%	6	0.2%
2 = Poorly	0			35 1.3%	0	0 0.0%	48	1.3%	0	0.0%	63	1.7%
3 = Adequately	0	0.0%	273	10.2%	0	0.0%	359	9.8%	0	0.0%	421	11.5%
4 = Well	6	37.5%	813	30.4%	3	18.8%	1000	27.4%	6	37.5%	1147	31.5%
5 = Very well	10	10 62.5%		58.0%	13	81.3%	2233	61.3%	10	62.5%	2009	55.1%
Mean : SD	4.63	0.50	4.45	0.74	4.81	0.40	4.48	0.74	4.63	0.50	4.40	0.77

CHAPMAN UNIVERSITY

Understanding and	l Organizing Subjec	t Matter for Stud	dent Learning
-------------------	---------------------	-------------------	---------------

How well did your teacher preparation program prepare you to do each of the following as a teacher?	Develop curriculum to teach content standards effectively					effective in es to teach nd skills			11. Select, adapt, and develop materials, resources, and technologies to make subject matter accessible to all students				
	Program				Program		Statewide		Program		Statewide		
	13	81.3%	3463	93.6%	13	81.3%	3469	93.8%	13	81.3%	3467	93.7%	
1 = Not at all	0	0.0%	14	0.4%	0	0.0%	13	0.4%	0	0.0%	9	0.3%	
2 = Poorly	0	0.0%	119	3.4%	0	0.0%	79	2.3%	0	0.0%	77	2.2%	
3 = Adequately	1	7.7%	557	16.1%	2	15.4%	451	13.0%	0	0.0%	563	16.2%	
4 = Well	8	61.5%	1166	33.7%	6	46.2%	1175	33.9%	6	46.2%	1220	35.2%	
5 = Very well	4	30.8%	1607	46.4%	5	38.5%	1751	50.5%	7	53.8%	1598	46.1%	
Mean : SD	4.23	0.60	4.22	0.87	4.23	0.73	4.32	0.81	4.54	0.52	4.25	0.82	

Planning Instruction and Designing Learning Experiences for All Students

How well did your teacher preparation program prepare you to do each of the following as a teacher?

12. Plan instruction based on students' prior knowledge, academic readiness, language proficiency, cultural background, and individual development

13. Plan and adapt instruction that incorporates appropriate strategies, resources and technologies to meet the learning needs of all students

Date: 11/3/2017

following as a teacher?		iu, and ind	ividual de	velopinen	it meet the	meet the learning needs of all student				
	Pro	gram	State	ewide	Prog	ıram	State	wide		
	13	81.3%	3463	93.6%	13	81.3%	3468	93.8%		
1 = Not at all	0	0.0%	7	0.2%	0	0.0%	5	0.1%		
2 = Poorly	0	0.0%	65	1.9%	0	0.0%	56	1.6%		
3 = Adequately	0	0.0%	519	15.0%	1	7.7%	498	14.4%		
4 = Well	5	38.5%	1198	34.6%	5	38.5%	1218	35.1%		
5 = Very well	8	61.5%	1674	48.3%	7	53.8%	1691	48.8%		
Mean : SD	4.62	0.51	4.29	0.80	4.46	0.66	4.31	0.78		

Assessing Students for Learning

How well did your teacher preparation program prepare you to do each of the		14. Develop and use assessment data from a variety of sources to establish learning goals and to plan, differentiate, and modify instruction						tudents ir al setting progress	, and	16. Give productive feedback to students to guide their learning				
following as a teacher?		Program		Statewide		Program		State	wide	Prog	ram Statewid		wide	
		13	81.3%	3463	93.6%	13	81.3%	3463	93.6%	13	81.3%	3466	93.7%	
1 = Not at all		0	0.0%	9	0.3%	0	0.0%	16	0.5%	0	0.0%	11	0.3%	
2 = Poorly		0	0.0%	102	2.9%	0	0.0%	141	4.1%	0	0.0%	78	2.3%	
3 = Adequately		1	7.7%	575	16.6%	1	7.7%	657	19.0%	1	7.7%	524	15.1%	
4 = Well		7	53.8%	1229	35.5%	7	53.8%	1248	36.0%	6	46.2%	1178	34.0%	
5 = Very well		5	38.5%	1548	44.7%	5	38.5%	1401	40.5%	6	46.2%	1675	48.3%	
	Mean : SD	4.31	0.63	4.21	0.84	4.31	0.63	4.12	0.89	4.38	0.65	4.28	0.82	

CHAPMAN UNIVERSITY

Develo	nina as a	Professional	Educator

How well did your teacher preparation 17. Evaluate the effects of your 18. Work with families to better program prepare you to do each of the actions on student learning and 19. Work with colleagues to understand students and to support following as a teacher? modify plans accordingly their learning improve instruction **Program** Statewide Program Statewide Statewide Program 3403 92.0% 92.1% 3408 13 81.3% 13 81.3% 3407 13 81.3% 92.1% 0.0% 7 0.0% 1 = Not at all0.2% 46 1.4% 0.0% 19 0.6% 0 0.0% 57 1.7% 0 0.0% 214 6.3% 0 0.0% 94 2.8% 2 = Poorly3 = Adequately3 23.1% 26.4% 2 15.4% 580 17.0% 0.0% 504 14.8% 898 4 = Well 6 46.2% 1264 37.1% 4 30.8% 1121 32.9% 4 30.8% 1125 33.0% 53.8% 46.2% 46.2% 33.1% 53.8% 1590 46.7% 5 = Very well 7 1571 6 1128 7 4.54 0.52 4.27 0.79 4.23 0.83 3.90 0.98 4.38 0.77 4.22 0.86 Mean : SD

Content for Single Subjects

How well did your teacher preparation program prepare you to do each of the following as a teacher?	accordin	ch my cont g to Califo standards	rnia acad		21. Contribute to students' reading skills including comprehension in my subject area		skills including comprehension in to future success in life, college,				ipate and students v					
	Prog	gram	State	wide	Prog	ıram	State	wide	Prog	ram	State	wide	Prog	ram	State	wide
	13	81.3%	3406	92.1%	13	81.3%	3401	91.9%	13	81.3%	3403	92.0%	13	81.3%	3403	92.0%
1 = Not at all	0	0.0%	29	0.9%	0	0.0%	20	0.6%	0	0.0%	21	0.6%	0	0.0%	79	2.3%
2 = Poorly	0	0.0%	105	3.1%	0	0.0%	118	3.5%	0	0.0%	85	2.5%	0	0.0%	290	8.5%
3 = Adequately	3	23.1%	477	14.0%	3	23.1%	591	17.4%	2	15.4%	510	15.0%	5	38.5%	836	24.6%
4 = Well	4	30.8%	1083	31.8%	4	30.8%	1206	35.5%	5	38.5%	1241	36.5%	5	38.5%	1039	30.5%
5 = Very well	6	46.2%	1712	50.3%	6	46.2%	1466	43.1%	6	46.2%	1546	45.4%	3	23.1%	1159	34.1%
Mean : SD	4.23	0.83	4.28	0.88	4.23	0.83	4.17	0.88	4.31	0.75	4.24	0.84	3.85	0.80	3.85	1.06

Field Experiences

24. Which of the following best describes the kind of clinical experience you had during your preparation (check all that apply):		Program		wide
		81.3%	3408	92.1%
Student teaching with a cooperating teacher	13	81.3%	2578	69.7%
Teaching fellow or intern in a program where I served as teacher of record while taking courses for my credential	2	12.5%	860	23.2%
Teaching on an emergency credential [PIP/ STP] while taking courses for my credential	0	0.0%	255	6.9%

Date: 11/3/2017

CHAPMAN UNIVERSITY

25. How often did preparation program faculty or staff communicate with you in person or by other means about your teaching practice?

	Program		State	ewide
	13	81.3%	3412	92.2%
1 = Less than once per month	0	0.0%	112	3.3%
2 = Once per month	0	0.0%	299	8.8%
3 = Twice per month	1	7.7%	672	19.7%
4 = Once per week	6	46.2%	1134	33.2%
5 = 2-3 times per week	5	38.5%	927	27.2%
6 = Daily	1	7.7%	268	7.9%
Mean : SD	4.46	0.78	3.96	1.19

26. How often did preparation program faculty or supervisors observe your classroom instruction and provide feedback during your clinical practice?

	Prog	gram .	Statewide		
	13	81.3%	3401	91.9%	
1 = Once or twice	0	0.0%	42	1.2%	
2 = 3-5 times	1	7.7%	563	16.6%	
3 = 6-10 times	7	53.8%	1504	44.2%	
4 = 11-15 times	3	23.1%	655	19.3%	
5 = 16-20 times	1	7.7%	353	10.4%	
6 = More than 20 times	1	7.7%	284	8.4%	
Mean : SD	3.54	1.05	3.46	1.17	

 $\,$ 27. My field experiences helped me integrate and apply the major ideas developed through program coursework

	Prog	ram	Statewide		
	13	81.3%	3405	92.1%	
1 = Strongly Disagree	0	0.0%	525	15.4%	
2 = Disagree	0	0.0%	425	12.5%	
3 = Neutral	0	0.0%	212	6.2%	
4 = Agree	8	61.5%	916	26.9%	
5 = Strongly Agree	5	38.5%	1327	39.0%	
Mean : SD	4.38	0.51	3.62	1.48	

28. My cooperating teacher(s) (select all that apply):

	Program		State	ewide
	13	81.3%	3287	88.9%
Frequently observed my teaching and met with me to				
offer feedback	12	75.0%	2720	73.5%
Was an excellent educator and a valuable role model	12	75.0%	2669	72.2%
Modeled effective practices	12	75.0%	2619	70.8%
Helped me plan and organize curriculum materials	13	81.3%	2451	66.3%
Offered useful strategies and advice about my teaching	13	81.3%	2922	79.0%
Helped me reflect on my practice	11	68.8%	2763	74.7%
Helped me to solve teaching problems	12	75.0%	2742	74.1%
Was knowledgeable about and able to provide support				
for field-based assignments	13	81.3%	2611	70.6%

29. Approximately how much time did you spend in student teaching (in the classroom of a cooperating teacher) as part of your supervised fieldwork?

	Program		State	wide
	13	81.3%	3239	87.6%
1 = Less than 100 hours	0	0.0%	66	2.4%
2 = 100 - 299 hours	1	7.7%	320	11.8%
3 = 300 - 599 hours	4	30.8%	910	33.5%
4 = 600 - 799 hours	7	53.8%	703	25.9%
5 = 800 hours or more	1	7.7%	715	26.3%
This question does not apply to me because I did not do any student teaching.**	0	-	525	-
Mean : SD	3.62	0.77	3.62	1.07

CHAPMAN UNIVERSITY

30. Approximately how much time did you spend in **an internship placement** as teacher of record as part of your fieldwork?

	Prog	gram	Statewide		
	13	81.3%	2486	67.2%	
1 = Less than 100 hours	1	20.0%	15	1.4%	
2 = 100 - 299 hours	1	20.0%	97	9.3%	
3 = 300 - 599 hours	1	20.0%	204	19.5%	
4 = 600 - 799 hours	2	40.0%	170	16.2%	
5 = 800 hours or more	0	0.0%	562	53.6%	
Does not apply/I was not in an					
internship **	8	-	1438	-	
Mean : SD	2.80	1.30	4.11	1.10	

31. **If you served in an internship placement**, how often did your assigned mentor observe your classroom instruction and provide feedback and assistance during your clinical practice?

	Prog	gram	State	ewide
	13	81.3%	2490	67.3%
1 = Once or twice	0	0.0%	62	6.4%
2 = 3-5 times	0	0.0%	135	14.0%
3 = 6-10 times	1	25.0%	221	22.9%
4 = 11-15 times	0	0.0%	156	16.1%
5 = 16-20 times	0	0.0%	170	17.6%
6 = More than 20 times	3	75.0%	223	23.1%
Does not apply/I was not in an internship **	9	-	1523	-
Mean : SD	5.25	1.50	3.94	1.57

 $32.\ \mbox{Overall},$ how effective was your teacher preparation program at developing the skills or tools you needed to become a teacher?

	Program		n State	
	13	81.3%	3354	90.7%
1 = Not at all effective	0	0.0%	31	0.9%
2 = Somewhat effective	0	0.0%	288	8.6%
3 = Effective	6	46.2%	1204	35.9%
4 = Very effective	7	53.8%	1831	54.6%
Mean : SD	3.54	0.52	3.44	0.69

Demographics

33. Are you Hispanic or Latino?

	Program		State	ewide
	13	81.3%	3334	90.1%
No, not Hispanic or Latino	*		2363	70.9%
Yes, Hispanic or Latino	*		971	29.1%

CHAPMAN UNIVERSITY

34. What is your race? Mark all that apply

	Prog	Program		Statewide	
	12	75.0%	2911	78.7%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	*		143	3.9%	
Chinese	*		156	4.2%	
Japanese	*		64	1.7%	
Korean	*		69	1.9%	
Vietnamese	*		58	1.6%	
Asian Indian	*		42	1.1%	
Laotian	*		3	0.1%	
Cambodian	*		9	0.2%	
Filipino	*		104	2.8%	
Hmong	*		4	0.1%	
Other Asian	*		44	1.2%	
Black or African American	*		146	3.9%	
Hawaiian	*		14	0.4%	
Guamanian	*		4	0.1%	
Samoan	*		7	0.2%	
Tahitian	*		2	0.1%	
Other Pacific Islander	*		13	0.4%	
White	*		2406	65.0%	

35. What is your gender?

	Program		Statewide	
	13	81.3%	3354	90.7%
Female	*		1843	54.9%
Male	*		1424	42.5%
Decline to state	*		87	2.6%

	Number of Program Completers Asked to Participate in Survey		# and % of Respondents	
Program:	16	16	100.0 %	
Statewide:	3814	3699	97 %	

'Respondents' are those program completers who answered a minimum of 1 non-demographic question.