# Senate Minutes | February 26, 2016

**Academic Org: $56,432.00**
**Co-Sponsorship: $23,214.33**
**Conference Scholarship: $15,223.25**
**Senate: $9,313.27**
**Student Org: $28,529.24**

*Numbers before 3/11 allocations committee mtg

I. **Call to Order**
   a. Roll Call
      i. Absent: Adam Cash, Annabell Liao, & Tristan Tran
      ii. Late: Crystle-Joie Agbayani
   b. Approval of Minutes
      i. Motion- Christopher Nelson
      ii. Second- Rob Nelson
      iii. Unanimous
   c. Approval of Agenda
      i. Motion- Jayethanjani Panakkadan
      ii. Second- Priya Patel
      iii. Unanimous
   d. Address Guests
   e. Recognition

II. **Executive Council**
   a. Chancellor Struppa – Statement of Free Speech
      i. Chancellor Struppa: The statement is meant to affirm the dialogue in the university to be able to speak up about the issues in the university. The censorship is in the statement to decide what is appropriate and what it is not. We only want to protect free speech that others might think it is offensive. The statement is to deter from discrimination.
      ii. Looking forward to working closely with the new executive team of SGA about the different issues of the university.
      iii. Adam Bruenig- free speech is an essential part of our university, the only problem with the statement is that it stated any speech could be stopped if it directly went against the functioning of the university. It seemed very general and there are many activities that are in opposition in the functioning of the university, so having it more defined would be great so students know.
         1. President of faculty: If people were to come in an SGA meeting and protest something against SGA, and they got disruptive to the point where it interrupted the meeting and the meeting could no longer go on, that is when the Statement of Free Speech would stop the discussion because it would be in violation of it because it disrupts the functions of the university
      iv. Mitchell Rosenberg- how come students weren't involved in the making of this statement?
         1. Chancellor Struppa: The board of trustees weren't even involved and it was just something we thought should be done and yes maybe we should've approached the student body. The faculty senate approved it in support and so did the board of trustees because they saw it as a good thing.

v. Setareh Motamedi- Who is the university? Who will be making the decisions?
    1. Chancellor Struppa: We don't want to say students can only protest in certain places and at certain times. we only want to protect other students rights and not to be disrupted from going to class and getting an education. The statement is meant to show that freedom of speech is central to the university, and students have the right to protest and tell their opinion but not when it harms students or the functions of the university. We want to face this issue with respect so that everyone is comfortable with it.
vi. Emma Cronshaw- Where do you see our collaboration in the future?
    1. Chancellor Struppa: The purpose of this organization is to grow and we are here to make sure you are engaged in the university. You are not just a passive organization and would be very happy to work together and become more involved with us. It would develop a sense of trust and community to show we work together when issues get brought up. It could add to the value of the organization and we would welcome any collaboration in the future.
vii. Dean Price- this is supposed to be a disruption policy to protect other students from being disrupted from doing other activities on campus. It is also meant to protect students from harassment.
viii. Jayethanjani Panakkadan- who would be enforcing this?
    1. Chancellor: there is no enforcement attached with this statement. If it is violated, depending on the situation then the violation will be sent to the proper department or person to look over the case.
ix. Motion to reaffirm the Statement of Free Speech- Christopher Nelson
    1. Second- Jayethanjani Panakkadan
    2. Unanimous

b. Director of PR – "What's the Issue?"
    i. Most frequent issues:
        1. no parking spots/hard to find parking (11)
        2. More food options on campus (7)
        3. no diversity / lack of diversity (5)
        4. cafeteria hours (4)
        5. bike racks & bike lockers (3)
        6. more vegan options at cafeteria (3)
    ii. Academic issues:
        1. study abroad for Schmid
        2. Problems on my.chapman.edu
        3. getting any help with my major is impossible
        4. not enough funding for science
        5. not enough computer science
        6. FFC (2)
        7. art department funding
    iii. Student life issues:
        1. loud late night food deliveries outside dorms (2)
        2. no outlets outside of BK's starbucks
        3. more support of the rockwall

4. issues with public safety and parties
5. sale of plastic water bottles
6. more on campus housing
7. better health center hours (2)
8. more services from SPCS
9. ATM by the dorms
10. more recycling
11. fence by AF
12. over programming
13. Hot water
14. unethical investment in fossil fuels (2)
15. dorm court lights
16. wifi (2)
17. bigger gym (3)
18. more crosswalks
19. smoking on campus (3)
20. library hours

iv. Campus inclusion:
1. gender inclusive restrooms
2. homophobia
3. transphobia
4. racism
5. more support of queer students and students of color
6. inclusive language on campus
7. ethnic studies summit

v. SGA and Allocations issues:
1. transparency in decision making
2. overhead and financing
3. How can I contact SGA?

**III. Old Business**

a. New Senator Induction
i. Kunal Sharma

b. Amendment to Executive Operating Procedures – James Hart
i. New Amendment: The SGA President Shall be responsible for attending City Council meetings in which topics concerning Chapman University are slated to be discussed
ii. Setareh Motamedi: If there are issues that involve Chapman that are posted on the council's agenda, then it should be presented to SGA before the city council meeting just in case the President does need to respond to the issues at the moment, the President would know the opinions of SGA.
iii. Motion to approve- Christopher Nelson
1. Second- Setareh Motamedi
2. Approved-24, Opposed-0, Abstained-0

**IV. Committee Reports**

a. Student Life Committee
i. Mia Baybayan: Student Union laptop kiosk is getting installed at the end of spring break and University services has a print job service for faculty/staff members, so she will reach out to them to get the same service for student organizations

ii. Crystle-Joie Agbayani: She and Jamie Gutierrez are trying to expand the Peer Mentor Program into residence life
iii. Emmi Schlaefer: Will edit her water bottle refill station resolution to try to get funding from other sources on campus and working with Mitchell Rosenberg and Jamie Gutierrez to revamp spring orientation
iv. Pammie Wong: Was working with Mitchell Rosenberg to get an ATM in Henley Hall basement, but no banks want to put an ATM there. Also, will be meeting with her pharmacy school about Rinker-Main campus transportation and interview process to be admitted into the pharmacy school
v. Joe DeCasperis: Working on trying to get a crosswalk between Knott Studios and DMAC; will reach out to Chris Olsen and wants to decrease the price to use duplex printing to give students an incentive to not print one-sided

b. Campus Inclusion Committee
i. Mitchell Rosenberg: Working to reform spring orientation and as well as health center hours. Survey will go out in Dean Price's email. Bike racks are on hold.
ii. Madison Dewey: Ken replied to email saying students should use crosswalks that are already there. Students are walking through Lastinger to accommodate which is unsafe. As for the bathrooms, higher toilets are needed. There are ADA style toilets made for this. Bars would also need to be installed into the stalls.
iii. Adam Cash: Placed on socioeconomic stratification committee and on a diversity committee (possibility disabilities focused committee). Contact Dawn and Senator Liao about bathroom signage. Possibly discussing with Cross Cultural Center committee about the gender inclusive restrooms on the third floor of AF
iv. Helen Garcia: Scheduled meeting for Sodexo pamphlet with other senators. Steered contact from making a Facebook post to a physical pamphlet to put in the cafeteria. Possible demand for vegan and vegetarian options because Sodexo may think that there is not enough demand for these options.
v. Ana Vincente: Sent out email to Austin Kernan about office hours in public areas for a possible survey for senators. Possibly creating concrete program policy for the future. Looking into this advocacy, working with Mitchell. Divestment club- get SGA to draft resolution about Chapman investing stocks that are positive for the environment, like not investing in fossil fuels. Committee will do research into Chapman investing.
vi. Adam Breunig: ADA regulations are standard on the national level, but many localities have much more strict regulations. There's a specific disabilities accessibility department in orange city hall though so maybe we can do a walk through of our campus with one of their representatives and a measuring tape to assess the compliance of the spaces on our campus that are claimed to be ADA compliant.
vii. Gracie Truex: Setting up meeting with the deans of the Theatre, Music, and Dance departments to discuss incorporation of Psychological services into COPA. Need to check in with Jeannie Walker about possibilities within SCPS.

viii. Veda Bhambhani: Mike K was unavailable for meeting about ticketing for Musco for discount tickets for Chapman students. Wants to work on international student orientation. Cannot make the meeting but Ana Vincente and Helen Garcia will possibly attend the International Communities Advisory Group on her behalf.

c. Academic Affairs Committee
    i. not able to meet this week due to a scheduling problem
d. Allocations Committee
    i. five funding requests during meeting, three were approved in full, two were brought to senate to be voted on today.
    ii. Austin Kernan: Have been assigned to Student Organizations Staff Advisory Committee. Next meeting will be on March third
    iii. Emma Cronshaw: The SPCS is on hold to overcome different challenges at the current time. Emma will be following up with Jeanne Walker
    iv. Christopher Nelson: Continuing to work on the pub with Rob and James.
    v. Rob Nelsen: Christopher Nelson, James Hart, and Rob Nelson all had a meeting with Chris Hutchison to have a discussion about the pub.
    vi. Emily Miller: Working on an event called Coffee with CES with Amanda. She will also begin work with the other 3 senators on the pub.
    vii. James Hart: Sending an email to Sheryl Boyd about the stop sign addition. Also, interested in creating a visual aid for the allocations funding request process.
e. Outside Committee Reports

**V. New Business**

a. Funding Request – QTPCC Event
    i. Total Requested: $6,784.78
    ii. Christopher Nelson- six thousand dollars is a lot of money, and only fifty people are going, and no tickets are being sold to this event. We were only comfortable partially funding this.
    iii. Elina: the number is very conservative and we are waiting on how much support we can get before we promote the bigger audiences and the numbers can grow substantially.
    iv. Emma Cronshaw: have you looked for other modes of funding?
        1. Elina: SGA would be our main source of funding.
    v. Jayethanjani Panakkadan- this would be a great event to put on, but the price is really steep for the small amount of people that are going. It would be helpful to pair up with UPB to make it a bigger event for more people to come.
    vi. Mia Baybayan- I think we should fund this right now and in the future it could be a great collaboration with other organizations if this event becomes more than a one-time event.
    vii. Helen Garcia- I would be in favor to fund this in full because even though it is a small number of people.
    viii. Kunal Sharma- would this event be a one time thing or would they becoming back regularly?
        1. Elina: they could come back here at a lower price another year.
    ix. Veda Bhambhani- this is a new organization and it is important to make a minority on campus feel comfortable and I would like to fund this in full.

x. Adam Breunig- this is the students' money and would like to see non-chapman students pay for something instead of them coming for free.
xi. Motion to fund in full- Mia Baybayan
    1. Second- Helen Garcia
    2. Approved-11, Opposed- 5, Abstained-3

b. Funding Request – Black Student Union
    i. New shirts to represent the organization.
    ii. Jayethanjani Panakkadan- I think it is great to represent the organization on campus but how come there aren't many students paying for it?
        1. Some members only pay their dues if they want to have the power to vote, everyone else is like a half-member because they can't always be there for meetings and events.
    iii. Emma Cronshaw- you should really try to enforce the paying of dues to help in the future.
    iv. Gracie Truex- I'm not sure if I feel comfortable giving shirts to people who just come and go.
        1. It's exactly like random people buying Chapman shirts even though they don't attend here, if they pay the money it doesn't matter who wears the shirt.
    v. Mitchell Rosenberg- you should try and find shirts elsewhere due to the fact they are expensive.
        1. Yes, we will be looking into that before they are ordered because the way they are right now, they are not appealing.
    vi. Motion to table this- Adam Breunig
        1. Second- Jayethanjani Panakkadan
        2. Approved-16, Opposed-0, Abstained-0

c. Funding Request – ACUET, CAST, Chapman on Broadway
    i. Total Requested: $1,865
    ii. Adam Breunig- this request is simply to buy the rights to Hair and the amount requested will actually be less than what is on the request form.
    iii. This event is completely student run and no help will come from the department to show what the student body can do.
    iv. More requests will be coming when the rest of the budget is finalized with what they would need.
    v. Motion to fund in full- Gracie Truex
        1. Second- Veda Bhambhani
        2. Approved- 21, Opposed-0, Abstained-3

d. Amendment to Allocations Operating Procedures – James Hart
    i. New Legislation
        1. Hard Limits on Funding
            a. The intended purpose of this section is to prevent funding of events and activities which are directly related to an academic curriculum and ought to be funded by students' tuition
            b. We believe that it is our mandate to enhance the and support student experience at Chapman outside of the classroom

i. Funding classroom activities would detract from our ability to meet this purpose

ii. Motion to table until next week- Gracie Truex
    1. Second- Adam Breunig
    2. Unanimous

**VI. Open Forum**

**VII. Announcements**

**VIII. Adjournment**

a. Motion- Emmi Schlaefer
b. Second- Skylar Goss
c. Unanimous